

unexpended obligations which are to be carried over to the next fiscal year must be accounted for in the new SEP for that fiscal year. Funds must be expended in the order in which they are obligated.

[57 FR 40956, Sept. 8, 1992, as amended at 59 FR 5264, Feb. 3, 1994]

§ 350.23 Acceptance of State plan.

(a) Each plan will be reviewed for content, after which the State will be notified of its acceptance or rejection.

(b) The time for submitting a plan may be extended for a period not to exceed 30 calendar days for good cause shown.

(c) Each State plan shall include an analysis of the effectiveness of its prior year's plan in reaching the stated objectives. The State will be advised whether any changes are needed in the plan or in its intended objectives.

§ 350.25 Effect of failure to submit a satisfactory State plan.

(a) A State will be notified in writing that approval of the plan is being withheld along with the reasons for such action, if:

(1) It is determined that a plan does not meet the requirements described in §§ 350.9 and 350.13; or

(2) It is determined that an SEP is not adequate to ensure effective enforcement of the FMCSR and FHMR; or compatible State rules.

(b) The State shall have 30 calendar days from the date of the notice to modify the plan and resubmit it for approval.

§ 350.27 Procedure for withdrawal of approval.

(a) If a State is not performing according to an approved plan or a State is not adequately enforcing the FMCSR and FHMR, or compatible State rules, the Administrator shall issue a written notice of proposed determination of nonconformity to the Governor of the State or the official designated in the plan. The notice shall state the reasons for the proposed determination and inform the State that it may reply in writing within 30 calendar days from the date of the notice. The reply should address the deficiencies cited in the no-

tice and provide documentation as necessary.

(b) The Administrator's decision, after notice and opportunity for comment, will constitute the final decision of the FHWA. An adverse decision will result in immediate cessation of Federal participation in the plan.

(c) If the State does not respond to a notice of proposed determination of nonconformity as provided in paragraph (a) of this section, the proposed determination shall become the Administrator's final decision with the same effect as paragraph (b) of this section.

(d) Any State aggrieved by an adverse decision issued under this part may seek judicial review pursuant to 5 U.S.C. ch. 7.

§ 350.29 Eligible costs.

(a) Work must be performed pursuant to an acceptable State plan in order for the cost of that work to be eligible for reimbursement. The eligible costs under the grant program are comprised of the allowable direct costs incident to the State's performance and its allocable portion of allowable indirect costs, less applicable credits.

(b) The primary functions to be performed under a basic grant are uniform roadside inspections and safety and compliance reviews with follow-up enforcement actions or compliance measures. Consequently, the major cost will be compensation and expenses of the personnel required to perform these functions.

(c) Subject to paragraph (c)(5) of this section, funds may also be used for:

(1) Enforcement of size and weight limitations;

(2) Detecting the unlawful presence of controlled substances in a commercial motor vehicle or on the person of any occupant (including the operator) of such a vehicle;

(3) Enforcement of State traffic laws and regulations designed to promote safe operation of commercial motor vehicles; and

(4) Sanitary food transportation inspections pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 2808.

(5) *Provided:* these activities are carried out in conjunction with an appropriate type of inspection for enforcement of safety regulations. Size and